UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

TABERNA CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC,

: District Court Case No.: 08 CV 1817 [JSR]

Plaintiff.

- against -

SIDNEY B. DUNMORE, MICHAEL A. KANE, and DHI DEVELOPMENT f/k/a DUNMORE HOMES, LLC,

ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

Defendants.

:

DEFENDANT SIDNEY B. DUNMORE'S REQUEST TO STRIKE PORTIONS OF THE DECLARATION OF JILL L. MANDELL IN SUPPORT OF REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT SIDNEY B. DUNMORE'S MOTION(1) TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, (2) TO DISMISS OR TRANSFER FOR IMPROPER VENUE, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE (3) TO TRANSFER VENUE FOR CONVENIENCE TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SACRAMENTO DIVISION

Defendant Sidney B. Dunmore ("Defendant" or "Dunmore"), by his attorneys, hereby files his Request to Strike (each a "Request" and, collectively, the "Requests") portions of the Declaration of Jill L. Mandell ("Mandell's Decl.") filed in support of the Plaintiff's Memorandum of Law In Opposition to Defendants' Motion To Dismiss or Transfer and supporting documents (collectively referred to as the "Opposition") to the Motion (1) To Dismiss For Lack Of Personal Jurisdiction; Or In The Alternative; (2) To Dismiss Or Transfer For Improper Venue; Or In The Alternative, (3) To Transfer For Convenience To The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, Sacramento Division (the "Motion"):

REQUESTS TO STRIKE

Mandell Declaration, ¶ 6 Sidney Dunmore and Kane caused the sale of DHI's \$250 million in assets to Dunmore NY in exchange for \$500. (Ex. C § 2.1) They then filed a Chapter 11 petition for Dunmore NY in New York, in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. In re Dunmore Homes, Inc., Case No. 07-13533 (MG). Basis for Request to Strike -Lack of Foundation. Federal R Evidence ("FRE") 602. - Lack of Personal Knowledge. FRE 602. - Hearsay. FRE 802. - Legal Conclusion. FRE 602, 701. -Assumes Facts Not in Evidence. FRE sale at issue as set forth in Dunmore' filed concurrently herewith, which provides that both the assets and liabi	tule of
Sidney Dunmore and Kane caused the sale of DHI's \$250 million in assets to Dunmore NY in exchange for \$500. (Ex. C § 2.1) They then filed a Chapter 11 petition for Dunmore NY in New York, in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. In re Dunmore Homes, Inc., Case No. 07-	
Sidney Dunmore and Kane caused the sale of DHI's \$250 million in assets to Dunmore NY in exchange for \$500. (Ex. C § 2.1) They then filed a Chapter 11 petition for Dunmore NY in New York, in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. In re Dunmore Homes, Inc., Case No. 07-	
sale of DHI's \$250 million in assets to Dunmore NY in exchange for \$500. (Ex. C § 2.1) They then filed a Chapter 11 petition for Dunmore NY in New York, in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. In re Dunmore Homes, Inc., Case No. 07- - Hearsay. FRE 802 Legal Conclusion. FRE 602, 701 Assumes Facts Not in Evidence. FRE This statement is contrary to the term sale at issue as set forth in Dunmore' filed concurrently herewith, which	2.
C § 2.1) They then filed a Chapter 11 petition for Dunmore NY in New York, in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. In re Dunmore Homes, Inc., Case No. 07-	
petition for Dunmore NY in New York, in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. In re Dunmore Homes, Inc., Case No. 07-	
the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. In re Dunmore Homes, Inc., Case No. 07- This statement is contrary to the term sale at issue as set forth in Dunmore' filed concurrently herewith, which	611(a).
Dunmore Homes, Inc., Case No. 07- filed concurrently herewith, which	s of the
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s Reply
13533 (MG) provides that both the assets and liabi	
provides that both the assets and habi	lities of
DHI Development f/k/a/ Dunmore	Homes,
LLC ("Dunmore CA") were sold to D	unmore
New York, a New York corp	
("Dunmore NY"). This statement	
inconsistent with the Declaration of	_
Strauch which is attached as Exhibit A	A to the
Mandell's Decl.	
See Reply at 3 and 5; see also Affirm	
Doug Strauch attached as Exhibit	
Mandell's Decl. ("Strauch's Decl.") a 30.	it 13, ¶
2 Mandell Declaration, ¶ 14 - Lack of Foundation. FRE 602.	
- Lack of Personal Knowledge. FRE 60	2.
When California trade creditors moved to - Hearsay. FRE 802.	
transfer the bankruptcy proceeding to Legal Conclusion. FRE 602, 701.	
California, Sidney Dunmore and Kane caused Dunmore NY to oppose the	611(a).
transfer motion and argue that New York This statement is false. Dunmore did	not take
was the most convenient venue. A copy any position with respect to the ban	
of Dunmore NY's opposition brief is proceedings and did not file any doc	
annexed hereto as Exhibit J. seeking to oppose the motion to tran	
bankruptcy proceedings. In fact, Ex	
attached to the Mandell's Decl. is the	debtor's
	position
individually. <u>See</u> Exhibit J attac	hed to
Mandell's Decl.	

Dated: April 4, 2008

LEVENE, NEALE, BENDER, RANKIN & BRILL L.L.P.

By: /s/Beth Ann R. Young

Beth Ann R. Young (CA State Bar No. 143945) (Pro Hac Vice) Michelle Sharoni Grimberg (CA State Bar No. 217327) (Pro Hac Vice)

10250 Constellation Boulevard, Suite 1700

Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 229-1234 Facsimile: (310) 229-1244

Attorneys for Defendant Sidney B. Dunmore